IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA

PRESENT: P.A. MOHAMMED J.

Ayyappan Chellappan v. Ayyappan Thankappan,

Code of Civil Procedure 1908 - Order 1 R-1&3 and Order 2 R-3 Misjoint of parties and misjoinder of causes of action - The provisions in 0.1 R 1 and 3 and 0.2 Rule. 3 have to be read together - The condition provided in clauses (a) and (b) of Rule 3 of 0.1 have to be fulfilled being joining several persons as co-defendants in the same suit, and clause (a) and (b) are not alternative but conjunctive.

Held:Clauses (a) and (b) of Rule 3 deal with two conditions to be fulfilled joining several persons as co-defendants in the same suit. In reading clause it appears to me quite apparent that the word 'same' which precedes are transactions governs also the words series of the acts or transactions and be read before those words also. Therefore it is perpicuous that the condition to be satisfied before joining several persons as co-defendants same suit is that the right to relief sought in the suit must arise against defendants from the same act or transaction or from the same series of transactions. The second condition to be satisfied under the rule is that common question either of fact or law should arise against the defendants separate suits were brought against such persons. After the amendment Order 1 Rule 3, two conditions must exist for enabling the plaintiff to join for more persons in one suit as co-defendants as prescribed in clauses (a) and (b) are not alternative but conjunctive. (Paras 8 & 1)

AIR 1984 Punj & Har 233

1986 KLT 988

AIR 1979 Ori. 66, 1959 KLT 849

ILR 1953 (1) Cal. 15, AIR 1954

Manipur 5, AIR 1950 Cal 128

K.C. John (Sr. Advocate), K.K. John

P.S. Krishna Pillai

Dissented I

Reliand

Distinguis

Reference

For Period

For Res